

Rate of uniform consistency for a class of mode regression on functional stationary ergodic data. Application to electricity consumption

Mohamed Chaouch^a, Naâmane Laïb^b & Djamal Louani^{b,*}

^a Department of Statistics, UAE University, United Arab Emirates

^b L.S.T.A., Université de Paris 6, France.

Abstract - The aim of this paper is to study the asymptotic properties of a class of kernel conditional mode estimates whenever functional stationary ergodic data are considered. To be more precise on the matter, in the ergodic data setting, we consider a random element (X, Z) taking values in some semi-metric abstract space $E \times F$. For a real function φ defined on the space F and $x \in E$, we consider the conditional mode of the real random variable $\varphi(Z)$ given the event “ $X = x$ ”. While estimating the conditional mode function, say $\theta_\varphi(x)$, using the well-known kernel estimator, we establish the strong consistency with rate of this estimate uniformly over Vapnik-Chervonenkis classes of functions φ . Notice that the ergodic setting offers a more general framework than the usual mixing structure. Two applications to energy data are provided to illustrate some examples of the proposed approach in time series forecasting framework. The first one consists in forecasting the *daily peak* of electricity demand in France (measured in Giga-Watt). Whereas the second one deals with the short-term forecasting of the electrical *energy* (measured in Giga-Watt per Hour) that may be consumed over some time intervals that cover the peak demand.

Key words: Conditional mode estimation, energy data, entropy, ergodic processes, functional data, martingale difference, peak load, strong consistency, time series forecasting, vc-classes.

Subject Classifications: 60F10, 62G07, 62F05, 62H15.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (X, Z) be a $E \times F$ -valued random elements, where E and F are some semi-metric abstract spaces. Denote by d_E and d_F semi-metrics associated to spaces E and F respectively. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a class of real functions defined upon F . Obviously, for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}$, $\varphi(Z)$ is a real random variable. Suppose now that we observe a sequence $(X_i, Z_i)_{i \geq 1}$ of copies of (X, Z) that we assume to be stationary and ergodic. For any $x \in E$ and any $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}$, let $g_\varphi(\cdot|x)$ be the conditional density of $\varphi(Z)$ given $X = x$. We assume that $g_\varphi(\cdot|x)$ is unimodal on some compact $S_\varphi \subset \mathbb{R}$. The conditional mode is defined, for any fixed $x \in E$, by

$$\Theta_\varphi(x) = \arg \sup_{y \in S_\varphi} g_\varphi(y|x).$$

Note that, if there exists $\xi > 0$ such that for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}$

$$g_\varphi(\cdot|x) \uparrow \text{ on } (\Theta_\varphi(x) - \xi, \Theta_\varphi(x)) \text{ and } g_\varphi(\cdot|x) \downarrow \text{ on } (\Theta_\varphi(x), \Theta_\varphi(x) + \xi), \quad (1)$$

and if we choose $S_\varphi = [\Theta_\varphi(x) - \xi, \Theta_\varphi(x) + \xi]$, then the mode $\Theta_\varphi(x)$ is uniquely defined for any φ . The kernel estimator, say $\hat{\Theta}_{\varphi,n}(x)$, of $\Theta_\varphi(x)$ may be defined as the value maximizing the kernel estimator $g_{\varphi,n}(y|x)$ of $g_\varphi(y|x)$, that is,

$$g_{\varphi,n}(\hat{\Theta}_{\varphi,n}(x)|x) = \sup_{y \in S_\varphi} g_{\varphi,n}(y|x). \quad (2)$$

Here,

$$g_{\varphi,n}(y|x) = \frac{f_{\varphi,n}(x, y)}{l_n(x)},$$

where

$$f_{\varphi,n}(x, y) = \frac{1}{nh\mathbb{E}[\Delta_1(x)]} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\Delta_i(x) H\left(\frac{y - \varphi(Z_i)}{h}\right) \right], \quad l_n(x) = \frac{1}{n\mathbb{E}[\Delta_1(x)]} \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta_i(x)$$

and $\Delta_i(x) = K\left(\frac{d(x, X_i)}{h}\right)$, with K and H two real valued kernels and $h := h_n$ a sequence of positive real numbers tending to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

The aim of this paper is to establish the uniform consistency, with respect to the function parameter $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}$, of the conditional mode estimator $\hat{\Theta}_{\varphi,n}(x)$ when data are assumed to be sampled from a stationary and ergodic process. More precisely, under suitable conditions upon the entropy of the class $\mathcal{C}$ and the rate of convergence of the smoothing parameter h together with some regularity conditions on the distribution of the random element (X, Z) , we obtain results of type

$$\sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{C}} |\hat{\Theta}_{\varphi,n}(x) - \Theta_\varphi(x)| = O(\alpha_n), \text{ a.s.}$$

where α_n is a quantity to be specified later on. Notice that, besides the infinite dimensional character of the data, the ergodic framework avoid the widely used strong mixing condition and its variants to measure the dependency and the very involved probabilistic calculations that it implies (see, for instance, Masry (2005)). Further motivations to consider ergodic data are discussed in Laïb (2005) and Laïb & Louani (2010) where details defining the ergodic property of processes together with examples of such processes are also given.

Indexing by a function φ allows to consider simultaneously various situations related to model fitting and time series forecasting. Whenever $Z := \{Z(t) : t \in T\}$ denotes a process defined on some real set T , one may consider the following functionals $\varphi_1(Z) = \sup_{t \in T} Z(t)$ and $\varphi_2(Z) = \inf_{t \in T} Z(t)$ giving extremes of the process Z that are of interest in various domains as, for example, the finance, hydraulics and the weather forecasting. For some weight function W defined on T and some $p > 0$, one may consider the functional $\varphi_{p,W}$ defined by $\varphi_{p,W}(Z) = \int_T W(t) Z^p(t) dt$. Further situation is to consider, for some subset A of T , the functional $Z \rightarrow \varphi_\rho(Z) = \inf\{t \in A : Z(t) \geq \rho\}$ for some threshold ρ . Such a case is very useful in threshold and barrier crossing problems encountered in various domains as finance, physical chemistry and hydraulics. Moreover, indexing by a class of functions $\mathcal{C}$ is a step towards modelling a functional response random variable. Indeed, the quantity $Z(\varphi) := \{\varphi(Z) : \varphi \in \mathcal{C}\}$ may be viewed as a functional random variable offering, in this respect, a device for such investigations.

The modelization of the functional variable is becoming more and more popular since the publication of the monograph of Ramsay and Silverman (1997) on functional data analysis. Note however that the first results dealing with nonparametric models (mainly the regression function) were obtained by Ferraty and Vieu (2000). Since then, an increasing number of papers on this topic has been published. One may refer to the monograph by Ferraty and Vieu (2006) for an overview on the subject and the references therein. Extensions to other regression issues as the time series prediction have been carried out in a number of publications, see for instance Delsol (2009). The general framework of ergodic functional data has been considered by Laïb and Louani (2010,2011) who stated consistencies with rates together with the asymptotic normality of the regression function estimate.

Asymptotic properties of the conditional mode estimator have been investigated in various situations throughout the literature. Ferraty *et al.* (2006) studied asymptotic properties of kernel-type estimators of some characteristics of the conditional cumulative distribution with particular applications to the conditional mode and conditional quantiles. Ezzahrioui and Ould Saïd (2008 and 2010) established the asymptotic normality of the kernel conditional mode estimator in both i.i.d. and strong mixing cases. Dabo-Niang and Laksaci (2007) provided a convergence rate in L^p norm sense of the kernel conditional mode estimator whenever functional α -mixing observations are considered. Demongeot *et al.* (2010) have established the pointwise and uniform almost complete

convergences with rates of the local linear estimator of the conditional density. They used their results to deduce some asymptotic properties of the local linear estimator of the conditional mode. Attaoui *et al.* (2011) have established the pointwise and uniform almost complete convergence, with rates, of the kernel estimate of the conditional density when the observations are linked with a single-index structure. They applied their results to the prediction problem via the conditional mode estimate. Notice also that, considering a scalar response variable Y with a covariate X taking values in a semi-metric space, Ferraty *et al.* (2010) studied, in the i.i.d. case, the nonparametric estimation of some functionals of the conditional distribution including the regression function, the conditional cumulative distribution, the conditional density together with the conditional mode. They established the uniform almost complete convergence, with rates, of kernel estimators of these quantities.

It is well-known that the conditional mode provides an alternative prediction method to the classical approach based on the usual regression function. Since there exist cases where the conditional density is such that the regression function vanishes everywhere, then it makes no sense to use this approach in problems involving prediction. An example in a finite-dimensional space is given in Ould-Saïd (1997) to illustrate this situation. Moreover, a simulation study in infinite-dimensional spaces carried out by Ferraty et al. (2006), shows that the conditional mode approach gives slightly better results than the usual regression approach.

In this paper, two applications to energy data are provided to illustrate some examples of the proposed approach in time series forecasting framework. The first real case consists in forecasting the *daily peak* of electricity demand in France (measured in Giga-Watt). Let us denote by $(Z_i(t))_{t \in [0, T]}$ the curve of electricity demand (called also *load curve*) measured over an interval $[0, T]$. If we have hourly (reps. half-hour) measures then $T = 24$ (resp. $T = 48$). The peak demand observed for any day i is defined as $\mathcal{P}_i = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} Z_i(t)$. In such case $\varphi(\cdot)$ is fixed to be the supremum function, over $[0, T]$, of the function $Z(t)$. Accurate prediction of daily peak load demand is very important for decision in the energy sector. In fact, short-term load forecasts enable effective load shifting between transmission substations, scheduling of startup times of peak stations, load flow analysis and power system security studies. Figure 1 provides a sample of seven daily load curves (from 07/01/2002 to 13/01/2002). Vertical dotted lines separate days and the star points correspond to the peak demand for each day.

It is well-known that, in addition to peak demand, some other characteristics of the load curve may be of interest from an operational point of view. In fact the prediction of the *electrical energy* (measured in Giga-Watt per Hour) consumed over an interval of three hours around the peak demand may helps in the determination of consistent and reliable supply schedules during peak period. Therefore, the second application in this paper deals with the short-term forecasting of the electrical energy that may be consumed between 6pm and 9pm in winter and between 12am and 3pm in summer. Those time intervals cover

the peak demand which happens around 7pm in winter and 2pm in summer. Formally, if we consider $Z_i(t)$ the load curve of some day i , then the electrical energy consumed between t_1 and t_2 is defined as $\mathcal{E}_i = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} Z_i(t)dt$. Therefore, $\varphi(\cdot)$ in that case is the integral function. A sample of four half hour daily load curves that cover winter and summer seasons is plotted in Figure 2. Solid lines are the daily load curves and the grey surfaces correspond to the electrical energy consumed over an interval of three hours around the peak.




Figure 1: Half hour electricity consumption in France from 07/01/2002 to 13/01/2002 (7 days). The vertical dotted lines separate days and the star points correspond to the peak demand for each day (in Giga-Watt).

2. RESULTS

In order to state our results, we introduce some notations. Let $\mathcal{F}_i$ be the σ -field generated by $((X_1, Z_1), \dots, (X_i, Z_i))$ and $\mathcal{G}_i$ the one generated by $((X_1, Z_1), \dots, (X_i, Z_i), X_{i+1})$. Let $B(x, u)$ be a ball centered at $x \in E$ with radius u . Let $D_i(x) := d(x, X_i)$ so that $D_i(x)$ is a nonnegative real-valued random variable. Working on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$, let $F_x(u) = \mathbb{P}(D_i(x) \leq u) := \mathbb{P}(X_i \in B(x, u))$ and $F_x^{\mathcal{F}_{i-1}}(u) = \mathbb{P}(D_i(x) \leq u | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}) = \mathbb{P}(X_i \in B(x, u) | \mathcal{F}_{i-1})$ be the distribution function and the conditional distribution function, given the σ -field $\mathcal{F}_{i-1}$, of $(D_i(x))_{i \geq 1}$ respectively. Denote by $o_{\text{a.s.}}(u)$ a real random function l such that $l(u)/u$ converges to zero almost surely as $u \rightarrow 0$. Similarly, define $O_{\text{a.s.}}(u)$ as a real random function l such that $l(u)/u$ is almost surely bounded.




Figure 2: A sample of four half hour daily load curves that cover winter and summer seasons. Solid lines are the daily load curves and the grey surfaces correspond to the electrical energy (in Giga-Watt per Hour) consumed over an interval of three hours around the peak.

Our results are stated under some assumptions we gather hereafter for easy reference.

A1 For $x \in E$, there exist a sequence of nonnegative random functional $(f_{i,1})_{i \geq 1}$ almost surely bounded by a sequence of deterministic quantities $(b_i(x))_{i \geq 1}$ accordingly, a sequence of random functions $(\psi_{i,x})_{i \geq 1}$, a deterministic nonnegative bounded functional f_1 and a nonnegative nondecreasing real function ϕ tending to zero as its argument goes to zero, such that

- (i) $F_x(u) = \phi(u)f_1(x) + o(\phi(u))$, as $u \rightarrow 0$,
- (ii) For any $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $F_x^{\mathcal{F}^{i-1}}(u) = \phi(u)f_{i,1}(x) + \psi_{i,x}(u)$ with $\psi_{i,x}(u) = o_{a.s.}(\phi(u))$ as $u \rightarrow 0$, $\frac{\psi_{i,x}(u)}{\phi(u)}$ is almost surely bounded and $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \psi_{i,x}(u) = o_{a.s.}(\phi(u))$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $u \rightarrow 0$.

- (iii) $n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n f_{i,1}(x) \rightarrow f_1(x)$ almost surely as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- (iv) There exists a nondecreasing bounded function τ_0 such that, uniformly in $u \in [0, 1]$,
 $\frac{\phi(hu)}{\phi(h)} = \tau_0(u) + o(1)$, as $h \downarrow 0$ and, for $1 \leq j \leq 2$, $\int_0^1 (K^j(u))' \tau_0(u) du < \infty$.
- (v) $n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(x) \rightarrow D(x) < \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

A2 K is a nonnegative bounded kernel of class $\mathcal{C}^1$ over its support $[0, 1]$, with $K(1) > 0$ and the derivative K' is such that $K'(t) < 0$, for any $t \in [0, 1]$.

- A3**
- (i) For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\eta > 0$ such that for any $(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \in \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$, $d_{\mathcal{C}}(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) < \eta$ implies that $|\Theta_{\varphi_1}(x) - \Theta_{\varphi_2}(x)| < \epsilon$.
 - (ii) Uniformly in $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}$, $g_{\varphi}(\cdot|x)$ is uniformly continuous on S_{φ} .
 - (iii) $g_{\varphi}(\cdot|x)$ is differentiable up to order 2 and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{C}} |g_{\varphi}^{(2)}(\hat{\Theta}_{\varphi,n}(x)|x)| := \Phi(x) \neq 0$.
 - (iv) For any $x \in E$, there exist $V(x)$ a neighborhood of x , some constants $C_x > 0$, $\beta > 0$ and $\nu \in (0, 1]$, independent of φ , such that for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}$, we have $\forall (y_1, y_2) \in S_{\varphi} \times S_{\varphi}$, $\forall (x_1, x_2) \in V(x) \times V(x)$,

$$|g_{\varphi}^{(j)}(y_1|x_1) - g_{\varphi}^{(j)}(y_2|x_2)| \leq C_x(|y_1 - y_2|^{\nu} + d(x_1, x_2)^{\beta}), \quad j = 0, 2.$$

A4 The kernel H is such that

- (i) $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |t|^{\nu} H(t) dt < \infty$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} t H(t) dt = 0$,
- (ii) $\forall (t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $|H(t_1 - \varphi_1(z)) - H(t_2 - \varphi_2(z))| \leq C_H(|t_1 - t_2| + d_{\mathcal{C}}(\varphi_1, \varphi_2))$, where C_H is a positive constant.

A5 For $j = 0, 1, 2$ and any $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[H^{(j)} \left(\frac{y - \varphi(Z_i)}{h} \right) \mid \mathcal{G}_{i-1} \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[H^{(j)} \left(\frac{y - \varphi(Z_i)}{h} \right) \mid X_i \right].$$

Comments on the hypotheses. As to discuss the conditions A1, it is worth noticing that the fundamental hypothesis **A1(ii)** involves the functional nature of the data together with their dependency. As usually in such a framework, small balls techniques are used to handle the probabilities on infinite dimension spaces where the Lebesgue measure does

not exist. Several examples of processes fulfilling this condition are given in Laïb & Louani (2011). Note that the hypothesis **A1**(i) stands as a particular case of **A1**(ii) while conditioning by the trivial σ -field. A number of processes satisfying this condition are given through out the literature, see, for instance, Ferraty & Vieu (2006). Conditions **A1**(iii) and **A1**(v) are set basically to meet the ergodic Theorem which may be expressed as the classical law of large numbers. Conditions **A2** and **A4** impose some regularity conditions upon the kernels used in our estimates. When indexing by a class of functions $\mathcal{C}$, it is natural to consider regularity conditions as the continuity of the mode with respect to the index function φ assumed in **A3**(i). Defined as an argmax and, furthermore, indexed by the class $\mathcal{C}$, the conditional mode is sensitive to fluctuations. The differentiability of the conditional density g_φ with some kind of smoothness of its derivatives is needed to reach the rates of the convergence obtained in our results. All these conditions are summarised in the assumption **A3**. Hypothesis **A5** is of Markov's nature.

Before establishing the uniform convergence with rate, with respect to the class of functions $\mathcal{C}$, of the conditional mode estimator, we introduce the following notation. For any $\epsilon > 0$, set

$$\mathcal{N}(\epsilon, \mathcal{C}, d) = \min\{n : \text{there exist } c_1, \dots, c_n \text{ in } \mathcal{C} \text{ such that } \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{C} \\ \text{there exists } 1 \leq k \leq n \text{ such that } d(\varphi, c_k) < \epsilon\}.$$

This number measures how full is the class $\mathcal{C}$. Obviously, conditions upon the number $\mathcal{N}(\epsilon, \mathcal{C}, d)$ have to be set to state uniform over the class $\mathcal{C}$ results.

The following proposition establishes the uniform asymptotic behavior (with rate) of the conditional density estimator $g(y|x)$ with respect to y and the function $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}$. This proposition which is of interest by itself may be used, as an intermediate result, to prove our main result given in Theorem 1 below.

Proposition 1 *Under conditions **A1**, **A2**, **A3**, **A4** and **A5** together with hypotheses (3)-(4), we have*

$$\sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{C}} \sup_{y \in S_\varphi} |g_{\varphi,n}(y|x) - g_\varphi(y|x)| = O_{a.s.}(h^\beta + h^\nu) + O_{a.s.}(\eta h^{-2}) + O_{a.s.}(\lambda_n) \\ + O_{a.s.}\left(\left(\frac{\log n}{n\phi(h)}\right)^{1/2}\right).$$

Our principal result considers the pointwise in $x \in \mathcal{E}$ and uniform over the class $\mathcal{C}$ convergence of the kernel estimate $\hat{\Theta}_{\varphi,n}(x)$ of the conditional mode $\Theta_\varphi(x)$.

Theorem 1 *Assume that the conditions **A1-A5** hold true and that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(n)}{n\phi(h)} = 0. \tag{3}$$

Furthermore, for a sequence of positive real numbers λ_n tending to zero, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and $\eta = \eta_n = O(h^{2+\beta})$, with $\beta > 0$, suppose that

$$(i) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mathcal{N}(\eta, \mathcal{C}, d)}{\eta \lambda_n^2 n h \phi(h)} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (ii) \quad \sum_{n \geq 1} \exp\{-\lambda_n^2 O(n h \phi(h))\} < \infty. \quad (4)$$

Then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{C}} |\hat{\Theta}_{\varphi, n}(x) - \Theta_{\varphi}(x)| = O_{a.s.}(h^{\beta/2} + h^{\nu/2}) + O_{a.s.}\left(\lambda_n^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) + O_{a.s.}\left(\left(\frac{\log n}{n \phi(h)}\right)^{1/4}\right).$$

Remark 1 Replacing the condition (4)(i) by $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mathcal{N}(\eta, \mathcal{C}, d)}{\eta \lambda_n^2 n h \phi(h)} = \delta$, for some $\delta > 0$, with $\lambda_n = O\left(\left(\frac{\log n}{n h \phi(h)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)$, the condition (4)(ii) is clearly satisfied inducing the uniform consistency, with respect to $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}$, of $\hat{\Theta}_{\varphi, n}(x)$ with the rate

$$O_{a.s.}(h^{\beta/2} + h^{\nu/2}) + O_{a.s.}\left(\left(\frac{\log n}{n \phi(h)}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\right)$$

Remark 2 Note, whenever $\eta = O(h^{2+\beta})$ and $\lambda_n^{-1} = O((n h^{5+2\beta} \phi(h))^{\frac{1}{2}})$, that the condition (4)(i) takes the form

$$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \eta \log \mathcal{N}(\eta, \mathcal{C}, d) = 0. \quad (5)$$

The condition (5) is very usual in defining Vapnik-Chervonenkis classes. Examples of classes fulfilling the condition (5) are given throughout the literature, see, for instance, Laïb & Louani (2011) and van der Vaart & Wellner (1996).

2.1 Application to time series forecasting

The main application of Theorem 1 is devoted to prediction of time series when considering the conditional mode estimates.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, let $Z_i(t)$ and $X_i(t)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, be two functional random variables with $t \in [0, T]$. For each curve $X_i(t)$ (the covariate), we have a real response $Y_{\varphi, i} = \varphi(Z_i(t))$, a transformation of some functional variable $Z_i(t)$. Given a new curve $X_{n+1} = x_{\text{new}}$, our purpose is to predict the corresponding response $y_{\varphi, \text{new}} := \Theta_{\varphi}(x_{\text{new}})$ using as predictor the conditional mode, say $\hat{y}_{\varphi, \text{new}} := \hat{\Theta}_{\varphi, n}(x_{\text{new}})$. The following Corollary based on Theorem 1 gives the asymptotic behavior with rate of the empirical error prediction.

Corollary 1 *Assume that conditions of Theorem 1 hold. Then we have*

$$\left| \widehat{y}_{\varphi, new} - y_{\varphi, new} \right| = O_{a.s.}(h^{\beta/2} + h^{\nu/2}) + O_{a.s.}\left(\lambda_n^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) + O_{a.s.}\left(\left(\frac{\log n}{n\phi(h)}\right)^{1/4}\right).$$

Proof. The proof of Corollary 1 is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.

3. APPLICATIONS TO REAL DATA

The data-set analyzed in this paper contains half hourly observations of a stochastic process $\xi(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Here $\xi(t)$ represents the electricity demand at time t in France. This process has been observed at each half hour from 01 January 2002 to 31 December 2005 (which corresponds to a total of 1461 days). Figure 3 shows the evolution of the process $\xi(t)$ over time. One can easily see a high seasonality since the variation of the electricity consumption is due to the climatic conditions in France. In fact, the winter and autumn are rather cold, whereas the climate in summer and spring is relatively warm. This remark is confirmed by Figure 4 which displays the half hourly electricity consumption in France in four selected weeks. We can clearly distinguish the intra-daily periodical pattern, and we can also note the difference in terms of level of consumption from one season to another. The repetitiveness of the daily shape is due to a certain inertia in the demand that reflects the aggregated behavior of consumers. Theoretically the evolution of the energy data was observed according to the process $\xi(t)$. Now in order to construct our functional data $Z(t)$ and to get its transformation $\varphi(Z(t))$ we proceed by slicing the original process $\xi(t)$ into segments of similar length. Since our target is a day-ahead short term forecasting we divide the observed original time series ($\xi(t)$) of half hourly electricity consumption into $n = 1461$ segments ($Z(t)$) of length 48 which correspond to the *functional observations*. Each segment coincident with a specific daily load curve. Formally, let $[0, T]$ the time interval on which the process $\xi(t)$ is observed. We divide this interval into subintervals of length 48, say $[\ell \times 48, (\ell + 1) \times 48]$, $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$, with $n = T/48 = 1461$. Denoting by $Z_i(t)$ the functional-valued discrete-time stochastic process defined by

$$Z_i(t) = \xi(t + (i - 1) \times 48); \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad \forall t \in [0, 48). \quad (6)$$

Figure 5 shows a, randomly chosen, sample of 20 realizations of the functional random variable $(Z(t))_{t \in [0, 48)}$ which corresponds to a daily load curves.

Once we have transformed our original time series into functional data type, one can start, as explained in the introduction, dealing with the short term forecasting of the daily peak demand and the electrical energy consumed over an interval using the conditional mode as predictor.




Figure 3: Half hour electricity consumption in France from 07/01/2002 to 31/12/2005 generated according to the process $\xi(t)$.

3.2 Short-term daily peak load forecasting

Let us now consider the observed daily peak of the electricity consumption defined, for any day $i = 1, \dots, n$, as

$$\mathcal{P}_i = \sup_{t \in [0, 48)} Z_i(t).$$

The goal of this subsection is to forecast the peak $\mathcal{P}_i$ on the basis of the load curve of the previous day, $Z_{i-1}(t)$. Forecasting peak load demand is one of the most relevant issues in electricity companies. In fact, the electricity market is more and more open to competition and companies take care on the quality of their services in order to increase the number of their customers. On the other hand, because of the electrification of appliances (e.g. electric heating, air conditioning, ...) and mobility applications (e.g. electric vehicle, ...), the peak demand is increasing which can leads to a serious issues in the electric network. It is important, therefore, to produce very accurate short-term peak demand forecasts for the day-to-day operation, scheduling and load-shedding plans of power utilities. Forecasting peak load toke a lot of interest in the statistical literature. For instance Goia *et al.* (2010) used a functional linear regression model and Sigauke & Chikobvu (2010) a multivariate adaptive regression splines model. These methods are based on the regression function as a predictor, here we suggest the mode regression as an alternative.




Figure 4: Half hour electricity demand in four selected weeks (the panel contains one week data from January, April, August and October 2004).

In this paper, we compare two predictors based on different choices of the covariable $X(t)$. Since the peak electricity demand is highly correlated to the electricity consumption of the previous day and also to the temperature measures, we have then two possibilities to chose the covariable $X(t)$:

To evaluate the proposed approach, we split the sample of $n = 1461$ days into:

Remark 3 *When the functional covariate is fixed to be the predicted temperature curve, say $\hat{T}(t)$, the notations for the learning and test sample can be changed as follow: $\mathcal{L} = \{(\hat{T}_i(t), \mathcal{P}_i)\}_{i=1, \dots, 1096}$ and $\mathcal{T} = \{(\hat{T}_i(t), \mathcal{P}_i)\}_{i=1, \dots, 365}$.*

The learning sample is used to build the proposed estimator given by (2) and to find the “optimal” smoothing parameter. To estimate the conditional mode some tuning parameters should be fixed. We suppose here that the kernel (resp. the smoothing parameter) for both the covariate and the response variable is the same and considered to be the quadratic kernel defined as $K(u) = H(u) = 1.5(1 - u^2)\mathbb{1}_{[0,1]}$ (resp. $h := h_K = h_H$). The optimal bandwidth h is obtained by the cross-validation method on the k -nearest




Figure 5: A sample of 20 daily load curves randomly chosen generated according to the process $Z(t)$.

neighbors (see Ferraty and Vieu (2006), p. 102 for more details). Finally, the semi-metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ is fixed to be the L_2 distance between the second derivative of the curves.

The test sample will be used to compare our forecasts to the observed daily peak electricity demand for the year 2005. Figure 6(a) (resp. (b)) displays the observed and the predicted values of the daily peak electricity demand using as covariable the load curve of the previous day (reps. the predicted temperature curve). Since cross-points, $(\hat{\mathcal{P}}_i, \mathcal{P}_i)_{i=1, \dots, 365}$, represented in Figure 6(a) are more concentrated on the diagonal line than those in Figure 6(b), one can deduce that the first approach provides better results than the second one. Moreover, Table 1 provides a numerical summary of the RAE obtained by using as covariate the predicted temperature curve or the last observed daily load curve. One can observe that monthly errors obtained by the second approach are usually less than those given by the first one. Therefore, one can conclude that the peak electricity demand might be better modeled by the previous daily load curve rather than by the predicted temperature curve.

Following the previous analysis, the last observed daily load curve will be considered, in the rest of this section, as the suitable covariate to forecast the peak demand. Our goal, now, consists in comparing the conditional mode predictor to the conditional median and the regression function (conditional mean) (see Ferraty and Vieu (2006) for more details about the properties of those last two predictors).

For a deeper analysis and evaluation of the accuracy of the proposed approach we use as validation criterias: the Relative Absolute Errors (RAE) and the *monthly* Mean




Figure 6: Results for one year day-ahead forecasting of the daily peak electricity demand using as predictor the mode regression and as functional covariate: (a) the curve of electricity consumption of the previous day (`Prev.Day`), (b) the predicted half hourly temperature curve of the target day (`Pred.Temp.`).

Absolute Prediction Error (MAPE_m), defined respectively, for any day $i = 1, \dots, 365$, in the test sample, as

$$\text{RAE}_i = \frac{|\mathcal{P}_i - \hat{\mathcal{P}}_i|}{\mathcal{P}_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{MAPE}_m = \frac{1}{N_m} \sum_{i=1}^{N_m} \frac{|\mathcal{P}_i - \hat{\mathcal{P}}_i|}{\mathcal{P}_i}$$

where N_m is a number of days for a given month $m \in \{1, \dots, 12\}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_i$ is the predicted value of the daily peak obtained by the conditional mode, conditional median or regression function.

Figure 8 shows examples of peak load forecasts for eight consecutive days. One can see that conditional mode provides more accurate predictions than the two other methods. In Figure 7 the 365 forecasted daily peak load are plotted against the observed ones. Clearly, one can observe that conditional mode performs well the forecasts while conditional median and regression function under-predict peak in the cold season and over-predict it in hot season.

Figure 9 provides the distribution of the daily RAE for each month in 2005, obtained by using the three prediction methods. One can observe that the conditional mode-based approach is much more efficient in winter, as well as, in summer, than the other methods.




Figure 7: Examples of daily peak load forecast using conditional mode, conditional median and regression function for eight consecutive days

Accurate forecasts in winter are of particular interest since the last is the period of the year whenever electricity demand might exceed the supply capacity and therefore efficient energy management in the electrical grid is highly required.

A numerical summary of Figure 9 is detailed in Table 2 where the monthly MAPE_m , the first quartile $Q_{0.25}$, the median $Q_{0.5}$ and the third quartile $Q_{0.75}$, of the RAE, are provided for the three used methods. One can see that the conditional mode approach performs better the forecasts almost over all the year.

Table 1: Distribution (by month) of the **RAE** of the peak load obtained by using as predictor the conditional mode and as covariate the previous day **Prev.Day** and predicted temperature **Pred.Temp.**

	Prev.Day(%)				Pred.Temp.(%)			
	MAPE_m	$Q_{0.25}$	$Q_{0.5}$	$Q_{0.75}$	MAPE_m	$Q_{0.25}$	$Q_{0.5}$	$Q_{0.75}$
Jan.	4.2	1.4	3.1	5.2	9.5	5.4	9.4	14.2
Feb.	5.4	1.9	4.0	8.3	6.9	1.3	6.3	10.2
Mar.	6.1	2.0	3.7	8.0	9.0	3.5	6.5	13.3
Apr.	6.7	2.6	4.9	9.4	11.9	6.0	11.4	18.2
May	4.7	0.5	1.5	9.5	9.9	3.2	8.0	14.1
Jun.	2.4	0.7	1.2	2.2	9.3	3.5	7.7	15.5
Jul.	3.1	0.5	1.5	3.2	8.3	2.2	7.4	11.2
Aug.	3.0	0.6	1.3	3.6	11.1	4.6	8.0	14.2
Sep.	2.5	0.3	0.8	1.7	10.9	4.0	9.5	18.7
Oct.	4.0	0.9	2.3	4.4	11.0	4.5	9.9	17.5
Nov.	5.4	2.0	3.9	7.1	8.9	3.7	6.8	14.1
Dec.	5.9	2.2	5.5	8.2	7.1	3.2	5.8	9.3




Figure 8: Observed daily peak load versus the predicted one obtained by the three forecast methods.




Figure 9: Distribution (by month) of the daily RAE of the peak load.

Table 2: Distribution (by month) of the RAE of the peak load obtained by using as covariate the previous day **Prev.Day** and as prediction methods the conditional mode, conditional median and regression function respectively.

	Mode(%)				Median(%)				Reg.(%)			
	MAPE _m	Q _{0.25}	Q _{0.5}	Q _{0.75}	MAPE _m	Q _{0.25}	Q _{0.5}	Q _{0.75}	MAPE _m	Q _{0.25}	Q _{0.5}	Q _{0.75}
Jan.	4.2	1.4	3.1	5.2	5.8	1.5	4.3	7.9	5.1	1.8	4.7	7.7
Feb.	5.4	1.9	4.0	8.3	5.9	3.3	4.9	7.8	6.4	3.1	5.4	9.0
Mar.	6.1	2.0	3.7	8.0	5.6	1.9	4.1	8.1	6.0	2.7	4.3	8.5
Apr.	6.7	2.6	4.9	9.4	5.9	2.5	4.1	8.4	4.1	1.5	2.6	5.2
May	4.7	0.5	1.5	9.5	4.6	1.1	2.4	7.8	4.5	1.2	2.8	5.6
Jun.	2.4	0.7	1.2	2.2	3.7	1.2	2.2	5.2	2.9	0.8	2.1	3.0
Jul.	3.1	0.5	1.5	3.2	4.5	1.6	2.5	3.5	2.9	0.6	1.1	2.8
Aug.	3.0	0.6	1.3	3.6	4.0	0.8	2.8	7.3	5.8	1.4	5.6	8.1
Sep.	2.5	0.3	0.8	1.7	3.0	0.5	1.6	2.3	2.7	0.4	0.9	3.1
Oct.	4.0	0.9	2.3	4.4	4.2	1.4	2.4	5.9	3.4	1.3	2.0	4.3
Nov.	5.4	2.0	3.9	7.1	6.8	3.1	5.4	7.1	5.3	2.8	4.3	8.3
Dec.	5.9	2.2	5.5	8.2	6.6	3.1	6.2	9.1	5.4	2.6	4.7	6.1

3.3 Electrical energy consumption forecasting for battery storage management

The electrical grid in the majority of the developed countries is expected to be put under a large amount of strain in the future due to changes in demand behavior, the electrification of transport, heating and an increased penetration of distributed generation. The current electrical grids infrastructure may not be able to endure these changes and storage of energy, produced by solar and wind power generations, hopes to negate or postpone the need for expensive conventional reinforcement that may be needed due to these changes in demand. One of the most used approaches to solve this technical issue consists in the storage, in batteries, of the energy coming from the traditional energy plants (e.g. nuclear, hydraulic, . . .) and from renewable energy resources (e.g. solar and wind) during the day and then use it at the evening and especially over the three hours around the peak (around 7pm in winter and 2pm in summer). Therefore, an accurate forecast of the energy that will be consumed in the evening allows to optimize the capacity of the storage and consequently to increase the batteries' life.

In this subsection, we suggest to solve this forecasting issue by using the mode regression. Regarding to the discussion made in the previous subsection, we use as covariate the load curve of the previous day. Formally, if we consider $Z_i(t)$ the load curve of some day i , then the electrical energy consumed between t_1 and t_2 is defined as $\mathcal{E}_i = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} Z_i(t)dt$ and measured in Giga-Watt per Hour (GWH). Therefore, $\varphi(\cdot)$ in that case is the integral function. Here, we use the same data set and the same evaluation procedure used in the previous subsection. We also keep the same choices for the tuning parameters (K , H , $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ and h) in the model. As mentioned before, the functional covariable is supposed to be the last observed daily load curve. Figure 11 and 12 show that conditional mode approach performs energy forecasts and that conditional median, as well as, regression function, under-predict energy for cold days and over-predict it in hot ones. Figure 13 provides the distribution, by month, of the daily RAE and we can observe that accurate results are obtained with conditional mode predictor. Numerical details, namely monthly MAPE, first quartile $Q_{0.25}$, the median $Q_{0.5}$ and the third quartile $Q_{0.75}$, of the obtained errors are given in Table 3. One can see again that conditional mode performs better the forecasts of the consumed energy




Figure 10: Observed daily consumed energy in 2005 versus its predicted values.




Figure 11: Examples of energy demand forecast using conditional mode, conditional median and regression function for eight consecutive days




Figure 12: Observed daily consumed energy in 2005 versus its predicted values.




Figure 13: Distribution (by month) of the daily RAE of the consumed energy.

Table 3: Distribution (by month) of the relative absolute errors of the energy consumed obtained by using as covariate the previous day Prev.Day and as prediction methods the conditional mode, conditional median and regression function respectively.

	Mode(%)				Median(%)				Reg.(%)			
	MAPE _m	Q _{0.25}	Q _{0.5}	Q _{0.75}	MAPE _m	Q _{0.25}	Q _{0.5}	Q _{0.75}	MAPE _m	Q _{0.25}	Q _{0.5}	Q _{0.75}
Jan.	4.3	1.3	3.4	5.9	5.7	1.6	4.5	8.1	5.4	2.2	4.8	8.2
Feb.	5.0	2.6	4.0	5.0	5.7	2.7	5.0	6.9	6.2	2.6	6.1	8.2
Mar.	8.6	2.4	3.8	10.9	6.3	2.0	4.7	8.6	6.6	2.0	5.6	9.0
Apr.	6.8	3.0	5.2	8.8	6.4	1.3	4.3	10.3	4.7	1.1	2.6	5.5
May	6.4	1.8	3.9	10.6	5.2	0.6	2.7	9.1	5.7	1.5	3.9	8.2
Jun.	3.6	1.1	2.0	3.5	4.0	1.1	2.6	4.7	3.5	1.2	2.1	3.9
Jul.	3.9	0.9	2.2	4.4	4.8	1.1	2.6	6.0	4.0	1.2	1.9	4.3
Aug.	3.2	0.5	2.2	4.3	4.6	0.9	2.2	8.2	6.9	2.7	6.9	9.3
Sep.	2.5	0.3	1.0	1.7	4.4	2.1	3.6	4.5	3.3	1.1	1.6	3.5
Oct.	4.5	1.2	3.8	6.1	5.5	2.9	5.1	8.6	3.7	1.1	2.5	4.9
Nov.	5.2	2.1	3.8	7.7	7.6	3.7	6.0	7.7	5.3	2.2	3.7	8.4
Dec.	5.8	2.2	4.8	8.7	6.5	2.7	5.7	9.0	5.4	3.0	4.8	7.0

4. PROOFS

In order to proof our results, we introduce some further notation. Let

$$\bar{f}_{\varphi,n}(x, y) = \frac{1}{nh\mathbb{E}[\Delta_1(x)]} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left[\Delta_i(x) H \left(\frac{y - \varphi(Z_i)}{h} \right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{i-1} \right].$$

and

$$\bar{l}_n(x) = \frac{1}{n\mathbb{E}(\Delta_1(x))} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}(\Delta_i(x) \mid \mathcal{F}_{i-1}).$$

Define the conditional bias of the conditional density estimate of $\varphi(Z_i)$ given $X = x$ as

$$B_{\varphi,n}(x, y) = \frac{\bar{f}_{\varphi,n}(x, y)}{\bar{l}_n(x)} - g_{\varphi}(y|x).$$

Consider now the following quantities

$$R_{\varphi,n}(x, y) = -B_{\varphi,n}(x, y)(l_n(x) - \bar{l}_n(x)),$$

and

$$Q_{\varphi,n}(x, y) = (f_{\varphi,n}(x, y) - \bar{f}_{\varphi,n}(x, y)) - g_{\varphi}(y|x)(l_n(x) - \bar{l}_n(x)).$$

It is then clear that the following decomposition holds

$$g_{\varphi,n}(y|x) - g_{\varphi}(y|x) = B_{\varphi,n}(x, y) + \frac{R_{\varphi,n}(x, y) + Q_{\varphi,n}(x, y)}{l_n(x)}. \quad (7)$$

The proofs of our results need the following lemmas as tools for which details of their proofs may be found in Laïb and Louani (2011).

Lemma 1 *Let $(X_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of martingale differences with respect to the sequence of σ -fields $(\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n))_{n \geq 1}$, where $\sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is the σ -field generated by the random variables $X_1, \dots, X_n$. Set $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. Suppose that the random variables $(X_i)_{i \geq 1}$ are bounded by a constant $M > 0$, i.e., for any $i \geq 1$, $|X_i| \leq M$ almost surely, and $\mathbb{E}(X_i^2 | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}) \leq d_i^2$ almost surely. Then we have, for any $\lambda > 0$, that*

$$\mathbb{P}(|S_n| > \lambda) \leq 2 \exp \left\{ -\frac{\lambda^2}{4D_n + 2M\lambda} \right\},$$

where $D_n = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2$.

Lemma 2 Assume that conditions **A1** ((i), (ii), (iv)) and **A2** hold true. For $1 \leq j \leq 2+\delta$ for some $\delta > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad & \frac{1}{\phi(h)} \mathbb{E}[\Delta_i^j(x) | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}] = M_j f_{i,1}(x) + O_{a.s.} \left(\frac{\psi_{i,x}(h)}{\phi(h)} \right), \\ (ii) \quad & \frac{1}{\phi(h)} \mathbb{E}[\Delta_1^j(x)] = M_j f_1(x) + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

where $M_j = K^j(1) - \int_0^1 (K^j)' \tau_0(u) du$.

Proof of Proposition 1. Considering the decomposition (7), the proof follows from lemmas 3, 4, 5 and 6 given hereafter, establishing respectively the convergence of $l_n(x)$ to 1 together with the rate convergence of $l_n(x) - \bar{l}_n(x)$ to zero and the orders of terms $B_{\varphi,n}(x, y)$, $R_{\varphi,n}(x, y)$ and $Q_{\varphi,n}(x, y)$. Note that, due to the condition (3), the term $R_{\varphi,n}(x, y)$ is negligible as compared to the term $B_{\varphi,n}(x, y)$. $\square$

Lemma 3 Under assumptions **A1** and **A2**, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad & l_n(x) - \bar{l}_n(x) = O_{a.s.} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\log(n)}{n\phi(h)}} \right), \\ (ii) \quad & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} l_n(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{l}_n(x) = 1, \quad a.s. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Lemma 3. The results follow by making use of Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 in Laïb & Louani (2011). Details of the proof may be found in Laïb and Louani (2010). $\square$

Lemma 4 Under assumptions **A1**, **A2**, **A3(iv)**, **A4(i)** and **A5**, we have, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{C}} \sup_{y \in S_\varphi} (\bar{f}_{\varphi,n}(x, y) - \bar{l}_n(x) g_\varphi(y|x)) = O_{a.s.}(h^\beta + h^\nu).$$

Proof of Lemma 4. By condition **A5** with $j = 0$, we have

$$\bar{f}_{\varphi,n}(x, y) = \frac{1}{nh \mathbb{E}[\Delta_1(x)]} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left[\Delta_i(x) \mathbb{E} \left(H \left(\frac{y - \varphi(Z_i)}{h} \right) \mid X_i \right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{i-1} \right].$$

A change of variables and the fact that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} H(t) dt = 1$ allow us to write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[H \left(\frac{y - \varphi(Z_i)}{h} \right) \mid X_i \right] &= h \int_{\mathbb{R}} H(t) [g_\varphi(y - th | X_i) - g_\varphi(y|x)] dt + h g_\varphi(y|x) \\ &=: J_{i,\varphi} + h g_\varphi(y|x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{f}_{\varphi,n}(x, y) &= \frac{g_{\varphi}(y|x)}{n\mathbb{E}[\Delta_1(x)]} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[\Delta_i(x)|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}] + \frac{1}{nh\mathbb{E}[\Delta_1(x)]} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[\Delta_i(x)J_{i,\varphi}|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}] \\ &=: \bar{l}_n(x)g_{\varphi}(y|x) + S_2.\end{aligned}$$

Using condition **A3**(iv), one may write

$$\begin{aligned}|S_2| &\leq \frac{1}{nh\mathbb{E}[\Delta_1(x)]} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[\Delta_i(x)|J_{i,\varphi}||\mathcal{F}_{i-1}] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n\mathbb{E}[\Delta_1(x)]} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\left[\Delta_i(x) \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} H(t) (|t|^{\nu}h^{\nu} + (d(x, X_i))^{\beta}) dt \right\} |\mathcal{F}_{i-1}\right] \\ &\leq C_x \left\{ h^{\nu} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |t|^{\nu} H(t) dt + h^{\beta} \right\} \frac{1}{n\mathbb{E}[\Delta_1(x)]} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[\Delta_i(x)|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}].\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, considering Lemma 2 in Laïb & Louani (2011) combined with the condition **A4**(i) imply that

$$\bar{f}_{\varphi,n}(x, y) - \bar{l}_n(x)g_{\varphi}(y|x) = O_{a.s.}(h^{\beta} + h^{\nu}),$$

where $O_{a.s.}$ does not depend on $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}$. □

The following Lemma describes the asymptotic behavior of the conditional bias term $B_{\varphi,n}(x, y)$ as well as that of $R_{\varphi,n}(x, y)$ and $Q_{\varphi,n}(x, y)$.

Lemma 5 *Under conditions **A1**, **A2**, **A3** (ii), **A4** (i) and **A5**, we have*

$$\sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{C}} \sup_{y \in S_{\varphi}} |B_{\varphi,n}(x, y)| = O_{a.s.}(h^{\beta} + h^{\nu}), \quad (8)$$

$$\sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{C}} \sup_{y \in S_{\varphi}} |R_{\varphi,n}(x, y)| = O_{a.s.} \left((h^{\beta} + h^{\nu}) \left(\sqrt{\frac{\log(n)}{n\phi(h)}} \right) \right). \quad (9)$$

Moreover, when hypotheses (3)-(4) are satisfied, we have

$$\sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{C}} \sup_{y \in S_{\varphi}} |Q_{\varphi,n}(x, y)| = O_{a.s.}(\eta h^{-2}) + O_{a.s.}(\lambda_n) + O_{a.s.} \left(\left(\frac{\log n}{n\phi(h)} \right)^{1/2} \right). \quad (10)$$

Proof of Lemma 5. Observe that

$$B_{\varphi,n}(x, y) = \frac{\bar{f}_{\varphi,n}(x, y) - g_{\varphi}(y|x)\bar{l}_n(x)}{\bar{l}_n(x)} := \frac{\tilde{B}_{\varphi,n}(x, y)}{\bar{l}_n(x)}.$$

Making use of Lemma 4, we obtain $\sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{C}} \sup_{y \in S_\varphi} |\tilde{B}_{\varphi,n}(x, y)| = O_{a.s.}(h^\beta + h^\nu)$. The statement (8) follows then from the second part of Lemma 3.

To deal now with the quantity $R_{\varphi,n}(x, y)$, write it as

$$R_{\varphi,n}(x, y) = -\frac{\tilde{B}_{\varphi,n}(x, y)}{\bar{l}_n(x)}(l_n(x) - \bar{l}_n(x)).$$

Therefore, the statement (9) follows from the statement (8) combined with Lemma 3 (i).

In order to check the result (10), recall that

$$Q_{\varphi,n}(x, y) = (f_{\varphi,n}(x, y) - \bar{f}_{\varphi,n}(x, y)) - g_\varphi(y|x)(l_n(x) - \bar{l}_n(x)).$$

Therefore the statement (10) results from Lemma 3 and the use of Lemma 6 established hereafter. This completes the proof of Lemma 5. $\square$

The following Lemma is needed as a step in proving Theorem 1

Lemma 6 *Under assumptions **A1**, **A2**, **A3**, **A4(ii)**, **A5** together with hypotheses (3)-(4), for n large enough, we have*

$$\sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{C}} \sup_{y \in S_\varphi} |f_{\varphi,n}(x, y) - \bar{f}_{\varphi,n}(x, y)| = O_{a.s.}(\eta h^{-2}) + O_{a.s.}(\lambda_n).$$

Proof of Lemma 6. Recall that, for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}$,

$$S_\varphi = [\theta_\varphi(x) - \xi, \theta_\varphi(x) + \xi].$$

Let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ and, for any $\epsilon > 0$, define the set

$$S_{\varphi_2}^\epsilon = \{y \in \mathbb{R} : |y - \Theta_{\varphi_2}(x)| \leq \xi + \epsilon\}.$$

It is easily seen, by condition **A3** (i), that, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\eta > 0$ for which the fact that $\varphi_1 \in B(\varphi_2, \eta)$ implies $S_{\varphi_1} \subset S_{\varphi_2}^\epsilon$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{C}} \sup_{y \in S_\varphi} |f_{\varphi,n}(x, y) - \bar{f}_{\varphi,n}(x, y)| \\ & \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq \mathcal{N}(\eta, \mathcal{C}, d_C)} \sup_{\varphi \in B(\varphi_j, \eta)} \sup_{y \in S_\varphi} |f_{\varphi,n}(x, y) - \bar{f}_{\varphi,n}(x, y)| \\ & \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq \mathcal{N}(\eta, \mathcal{C}, d_C)} \sup_{\varphi \in B(\varphi_j, \eta)} \sup_{y \in S_{\varphi_j}^\epsilon} |f_{\varphi,n}(x, y) - \bar{f}_{\varphi,n}(x, y)|. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Using now the compactness of $S_{\varphi_j}^\epsilon$ and the fact that its length is $2(\xi + \epsilon)$ for any φ_j , we can write $S_{\varphi_j}^\epsilon \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{d_{\epsilon,n}} S_{\varphi_j,k}^\epsilon$ where $S_{\varphi_j,k}^\epsilon = (t_{\varphi_j,k}^\epsilon - m_n, t_{\varphi_j,k}^\epsilon + m_n)$ and m_n and $d_{\epsilon,n}$ are such

that $d_{\epsilon,n} = C_\epsilon m_n^{-1}$ for some positive constant C_ϵ . Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{y \in S_{\varphi_j}^\epsilon} |f_{\varphi,n}(x, y) - \bar{f}_{\varphi,n}(x, y)| &\leq \max_{1 \leq k \leq d_{\epsilon,n}} \sup_{y \in S_{\varphi_j,k}^\epsilon} |f_{\varphi,n}(x, y) - f_{\varphi,n}(x, t_{\varphi_j,k}^\epsilon)| \\
&\quad + \max_{1 \leq k \leq d_{\epsilon,n}} |f_{\varphi,n}(x, t_{\varphi_j,k}^\epsilon) - \bar{f}_{\varphi,n}(x, t_{\varphi_j,k}^\epsilon)| \\
&\quad + \max_{1 \leq k \leq d_{\epsilon,n}} \sup_{y \in S_{\varphi_j,k}^\epsilon} |\bar{f}_{\varphi,n}(x, t_{\varphi_j,k}^\epsilon) - \bar{f}_{\varphi,n}(x, y)| \\
&=: J_{\varphi,n,1} + J_{\varphi,n,2} + J_{\varphi,n,3}.
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Making use of **A4** (ii), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{\varphi,n,1} &\leq \frac{1}{nh\mathbb{E}[\Delta_1(x)]} \max_{1 \leq k \leq d_{\epsilon,n}} \sup_{y \in S_{\varphi_j,k}^\epsilon} \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta_i(x) \left| H\left(\frac{y - \varphi(Z_i)}{h}\right) - H\left(\frac{t_{\varphi_j,k}^\epsilon - \varphi(Z_i)}{h}\right) \right| \\
&\leq C_H m_n h^{-2} l_n(x).
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Similarly, we have also

$$J_{\varphi,n,3} \leq C_H m_n h^{-2} \bar{l}_n(x). \tag{14}$$

Therefore,

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq \mathcal{N}(\eta, \mathcal{C}, dc)} \sup_{\varphi \in B(\varphi_j, \eta)} (J_{\varphi,n,1} + J_{\varphi,n,3}) \leq C_H m_n h^{-2} (l_n(x) + \bar{l}_n(x)). \tag{15}$$

Using Lemma 3 (ii), it follows that

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq \mathcal{N}(\eta, \mathcal{C}, dc)} \sup_{\varphi \in B(\varphi_j, \eta)} (J_{\varphi,n,1} + J_{\varphi,n,3}) = O_{a.s.}(m_n h^{-2}). \tag{16}$$

To identify the convergence rate to zero of the term $\max_{1 \leq j \leq \mathcal{N}(\eta, \mathcal{C}, dc)} \sup_{\varphi \in B(\varphi_j, \eta)} J_{\varphi,n,2}$, observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max_{1 \leq j \leq \mathcal{N}(\eta, \mathcal{C}, dc)} \sup_{\varphi \in B(\varphi_j, \eta)} J_{\varphi,n,2} \\
&\leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq \mathcal{N}(\eta, \mathcal{C}, dc)} \sup_{\varphi \in B(\varphi_j, \eta)} \max_{1 \leq k \leq d_{\epsilon,n}} |f_{\varphi,n}(x, t_{\varphi_j,k}^\epsilon) - f_{\varphi_j,n}(x, t_{\varphi_j,k}^\epsilon)| \\
&\quad + \max_{1 \leq j \leq \mathcal{N}(\eta, \mathcal{C}, dc)} \max_{1 \leq k \leq d_{\epsilon,n}} |f_{\varphi_j,n}(x, t_{\varphi_j,k}^\epsilon) - \bar{f}_{\varphi_j,n}(x, t_{\varphi_j,k}^\epsilon)| \\
&\quad + \max_{1 \leq j \leq \mathcal{N}(\eta, \mathcal{C}, dc)} \sup_{\varphi \in B(\varphi_j, \eta)} \max_{1 \leq k \leq d_{\epsilon,n}} |\bar{f}_{\varphi_j,n}(x, t_{\varphi_j,k}^\epsilon) - \bar{f}_{\varphi,n}(x, t_{\varphi_j,k}^\epsilon)| \\
&=: J_{n,1} + J_{n,2} + J_{n,3}.
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

By the same arguments as in the statement (15), we can show, under Condition **A4** (ii), that

$$(J_{n,1} + J_{n,3}) \leq C_H \eta h^{-2} (l_n(x) + \bar{l}_n(x)) = O_{a.s.}(\eta h^{-2}). \tag{18}$$

We have to deal now with the middle term $J_{n,2}$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(J_{n,2} > \lambda) &= \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq \mathcal{N}(\eta, \mathcal{C}, d_{\mathcal{C}})} \max_{1 \leq k \leq d_{\epsilon, n}} |f_{\varphi_j, n}(x, t_{\varphi_j, k}^{\epsilon}) - \bar{f}_{\varphi_j, n}(x, t_{\varphi_j, k}^{\epsilon})| > \lambda\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\mathcal{N}(\eta, \mathcal{C}, d_{\mathcal{C}})} \sum_{k=1}^{d_{\epsilon, n}} \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1}{nh} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n L_{i, \varphi_j}(x, t_{\varphi_j, k}^{\epsilon}) \right| \geq \lambda\right),\end{aligned}$$

where $L_{i, \varphi_j}(x, y) = \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}[\Delta_1(x)]} \left[\Delta_i(x) H\left(\frac{y - \varphi_j(Z_i)}{h}\right) - \mathbb{E}\left[\Delta_i(x) H\left(\frac{y - \varphi_j(Z_i)}{h}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{i-1}\right] \right]$. Notice that $L_{i, \varphi_j}(x, y)$ is a martingale difference bounded by the quantity $M := \frac{2\bar{K}\bar{H}}{\phi(h)[M_1 f_1(x) + o(1)]}$. In fact, since the kernel K and the function H are bounded, it follows easily in view of Lemma 2 (ii) in Laïb & Louani (2011) that

$$|L_{i, \varphi_j}(x, y)| \leq \frac{2\bar{K}\bar{H}}{\mathbb{E}[\Delta_1(x)]} = \frac{2\bar{K}\bar{H}}{\phi(h)[M_1 f_1(x) + o(1)]},$$

where $\bar{H} := \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} H(y)$ and $\bar{K} := \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} K(y)$. Observe now that

$$\mathbb{E}[(L_{i, \varphi_j}(x, t_{\varphi_j, k}^{\epsilon}))^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{i-1}] \leq \frac{1}{(\mathbb{E}[\Delta_1(x)])^2} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\Delta_i(x) H\left(\frac{t_{\varphi_j, k}^{\epsilon} - \varphi_j(Z_i)}{h}\right)\right)^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{i-1}\right].$$

Therefore, by condition **A5**, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\Delta_i(x) H\left(\frac{t_{\varphi_j, k}^{\epsilon} - \varphi_j(Z_i)}{h}\right)\right)^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{i-1}\right] &= \mathbb{E}\left[(\Delta_i(x))^2 \mathbb{E}\left[\left(H\left(\frac{t_{\varphi_j, k}^{\epsilon} - \varphi_j(Z_i)}{h}\right)\right)^2 \mid \mathcal{G}_{i-1}\right] \mid \mathcal{F}_{i-1}\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[(\Delta_i(x))^2 \mathbb{E}\left[\left(H\left(\frac{t_{\varphi_j, k}^{\epsilon} - \varphi_j(Z_i)}{h}\right)\right)^2 \mid X_i\right] \mid \mathcal{F}_{i-1}\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[(\Delta_i(x))^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(H\left(\frac{u}{h}\right)\right)^2 g_{\varphi_j}(t_{\varphi_j, k}^{\epsilon} - u \mid X_i) du \mid \mathcal{F}_{i-1}\right] \\ &:= \mathbb{E}\left[(\Delta_i(x))^2 \mathcal{T}_{i,1} \mid \mathcal{F}_{i-1}\right] + \mathcal{T}_2 \mathbb{E}\left[(\Delta_i(x))^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{i-1}\right],\end{aligned}$$

where we have set $\mathcal{T}_{i,1} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(H\left(\frac{u}{h}\right)\right)^2 \left(g_{\varphi_j}(t_{\varphi_j, k}^{\epsilon} - u \mid X_i) - g_{\varphi_j}(t_{\varphi_j, k}^{\epsilon} \mid x)\right) du$ and $\mathcal{T}_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(H\left(\frac{u}{h}\right)\right)^2 g_{\varphi_j}(t_{\varphi_j, k}^{\epsilon} \mid x) du$. Subsequently, for $\eta > 0$, we have

$$\mathcal{T}_{i,1} \leq \int_{|u| \leq \eta} \left(H\left(\frac{u}{h}\right)\right)^2 \left|g_{\varphi_j}(t_{\varphi_j, k}^{\epsilon} - u \mid X_i) - g_{\varphi_j}(t_{\varphi_j, k}^{\epsilon} \mid x)\right| du$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_{|u|>\eta} \left(H\left(\frac{u}{h}\right) \right)^2 \left| g_{\varphi_j}(t_{\varphi_j,k}^\epsilon - u|X_i) - g_{\varphi_j}(t_{\varphi_j,k}^\epsilon|x) \right| du \\
& \leq h \sup_{|u|\leq\eta} |g_{\varphi_j}(t_{\varphi_j,k}^\epsilon - u|X_i) - g_{\varphi_j}(t_{\varphi_j,k}^\epsilon|x)| \int_{|u|\leq\eta/h} (H(u))^2 du \\
& \quad + h \sup_{|u|>\eta/h} (H(u))^2 + h g_{\varphi_j}(t_{\varphi_j,k}^\epsilon|x) \int_{|u|>\eta/h} (H(u))^2 du;
\end{aligned}$$

Condition **A3** (iv) allows us, for any $\eta > 0$, to write

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}_{i,1} & \leq h C_x (|\eta|^\nu + d(x, X_i)^\beta) \int_{|u|\leq\eta/h} (H(u))^2 du \\
& \quad + h \sup_{|u|>\eta/h} (H(u))^2 + h g_{\varphi_j}(t_{\varphi_j,k}^\epsilon|x) \int_{|u|>\eta/h} (H(u))^2 du.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} [(\Delta_i(x))^2 \mathcal{T}_{i,1} \mid \mathcal{F}_{i-1}] & \leq h \mathbb{E} \left[(\Delta_i(x))^2 \left(C_x (|\eta|^\nu + d(x, X_i)^\beta) \int_{|u|\leq\frac{\eta}{h}} H^2(u) du \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. + \sup_{|u|>\frac{\eta}{h}} H^2(u) + g_{\varphi_j}(t_{\varphi_j,k}^\epsilon|x) \int_{|u|>\frac{\eta}{h}} H^2(u) du \right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{i-1} \right] \\
& \leq h \left(C_x (|\eta|^\nu + h^\beta) \int_{|u|\leq\eta/h} (H(u))^2 du + \sup_{|u|>\frac{\eta}{h}} H^2(u) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + g_{\varphi_j}(t_{\varphi_j,k}^\epsilon|x) \int_{|u|>\frac{\eta}{h}} H^2(u) du \right) \mathbb{E} [(\Delta_i(x))^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{i-1}].
\end{aligned}$$

On another hand, we can see easily, for some positive constant C_0 , that

$$\mathcal{T}_2 \mathbb{E} [(\Delta_i(x))^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{i-1}] \leq C_0 h \mathbb{E} [(\Delta_i(x))^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{i-1}].$$

Therefore, since H is bounded and $h \rightarrow 0$, it follows then that there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\Delta_i(x) H \left(\frac{t_{\varphi_j,k}^\epsilon - \varphi(Z_i)}{h} \right) \right)^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{i-1} \right] \leq C_1 h \mathbb{E} [(\Delta_i(x))^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{i-1}] \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Furthermore, using Condition **(A1)**, which supposes almost surely that $f_{i,1}$ is bounded by a deterministic function $b(x)$ and that $\psi_{i,x}(h) \leq \phi(h)$ as $h \rightarrow 0$, together with Lemma 2 in Laïb & Louani (2011), we have for n large enough

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[(L_{i,\varphi_j}(x, t_{\varphi_j,k}^\epsilon))^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{i-1}] & \leq \frac{C_1 h}{(\mathbb{E}[\Delta_1(x)])^2} \mathbb{E} [(\Delta_i(x))^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{i-1}] \\
& \leq \frac{C_1 h}{\phi(h)[M_1^2 f_1^2(x) + o(1)]} [M_2 b_i(x) + 1] =: d_i^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, using Conditions **A1** (iii),(v), one may write

$$\frac{4D_n}{n} + 2Mh\lambda = \frac{h}{\phi(h)} \left[\frac{4C_1[M_2D(x) + 1]}{M_1^2f_1^2(x) + o(1)} + \frac{4\lambda\bar{K}\bar{H}}{M_1f_1(x) + o(1)} \right]$$

and $\frac{nh^2\lambda^2}{4D_n/n + 2Mh\lambda} = nh\phi(h)\lambda^2C_\epsilon(x)$, where

$$C_\epsilon(x) = \frac{M_1f_1(x)}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{\frac{C_1(M_2D(x)+1)}{M_1f_1(x)} + \lambda\bar{H}\bar{K} + o(1)}.$$

Consequently,

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\frac{1}{nh} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n L_{i,\varphi_j}(x, t_{\varphi_j,k}^\epsilon) \right| \geq \lambda \right) \leq 2 \exp \{ -nh\phi(h)\lambda^2C_\epsilon(x) \}.$$

Choosing $\lambda = \lambda_n$ and $m_n = \eta$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(J_{2,n} \geq \lambda_n) &\leq 2\mathcal{N}(\eta, \mathcal{C}, d_{\mathcal{C}})d_{\epsilon,n} \exp \{ -nh\phi(h)\lambda_n^2C_\epsilon(x) \} \\ &= 2 \exp \left\{ -\lambda_n^2nh\phi(h) \left[C_\epsilon(x) - \frac{c_\epsilon \log \mathcal{N}(\eta, \mathcal{C}, d_{\mathcal{C}})}{\eta\lambda_n^2nh\phi(h)} \right] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account the condition (4), it suffices to use the Borel-Cantelli Lemma to conclude the proof. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1. Taylor series expansion of the function $g_\varphi(\hat{\Theta}_{\varphi,n}(x)|x)$ around $\Theta_\varphi(x)$ together with the definition of $\Theta_\varphi(x)$ yield

$$g_\varphi(\hat{\Theta}_{\varphi,n}(x)|x) = g_\varphi(\Theta_\varphi(x)|x) + (\hat{\Theta}_{\varphi,n}(x) - \Theta_\varphi(x))^2 \frac{1}{2} g_\varphi^{(2)}(\Theta_{\varphi,n}^*(x)|x), \quad (19)$$

where $\Theta_{\varphi,n}^*$ is between $\hat{\Theta}_{\varphi,n}(x)$ and $\Theta_\varphi(x)$. Subsequently, considering the statement (19) we obtain

$$(\hat{\Theta}_{\varphi,n}(x) - \Theta_\varphi(x))^2 |g_\varphi^{(2)}(\Theta_{\varphi,n}^*(x)|x)| = O \left(\sup_{y \in S_\varphi} |g_n(y|x) - g(y|x)| \right). \quad (20)$$

To end the proof of the theorem, we need the following lemma which deals with the uniform (with respect to $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}$) asymptotic behavior of the conditional mode estimate.

Lemma 7 *Under assumptions of Proposition 1, we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{C}} |\hat{\Theta}_{\varphi,n}(x) - \Theta_\varphi(x)| = 0 \quad a.s.$$

Proof of Lemma 7. Since by the assumption **A3(ii)**, uniformly in $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}$, $g_\varphi(\cdot|x)$ is uniformly continuous on the compact set S_φ on which $\theta_\varphi(x)$ is the unique mode. Then, proceeding as in Parzen (1962), for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\zeta > 0$ such that, for any $y \in S_\varphi$,

$$\sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{C}} |\Theta_\varphi(x) - y| \geq \varepsilon \Rightarrow \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{C}} |g_\varphi(\Theta_\varphi(x)|x) - g_\varphi(y|x)| \geq \zeta. \quad (21)$$

On another hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{C}} |g_\varphi(\hat{\Theta}_{\varphi,n}(x)|x) - g_\varphi(\Theta_\varphi(x)|x)| &\leq \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{C}} |g_{\varphi,n}(\hat{\Theta}_{\varphi,n}(x)|x) - g_\varphi(\hat{\Theta}_{\varphi,n}(x)|x)| \\ &\quad + \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{C}} |g_{\varphi,n}(\hat{\Theta}_{\varphi,n}(x)|x) - g_\varphi(\Theta_\varphi(x)|x)| \\ &\leq \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{C}} \sup_{y \in S_\varphi} |g_{\varphi,n}(y|x) - g_\varphi(y|x)| \\ &\quad + \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{C}} \left| \sup_{y \in S_\varphi} g_{\varphi,n}(y|x) - \sup_{y \in S_\varphi} g_\varphi(y|x) \right| \\ &\leq 2 \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{C}} \sup_{y \in S_\varphi} |g_{\varphi,n}(y|x) - g_\varphi(y|x)|. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Using the statements (21) and (22) combined with Proposition 1, we obtain the result. $\square$

We come back now on the proof of the Theorem. Making use of Lemma 7 combined with conditions A3(iii)-(iv), we deduce that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{C}} |g^{(2)}(\Theta_{\varphi,n}^*(x)|x)| = \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{C}} |g^{(2)}(\Theta_\varphi(x)|x)| = \Phi(x) \neq 0. \quad (23)$$

Moreover, the statements (20), (23) imply that

$$\sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{C}} (\hat{\Theta}_{\varphi,n}(x) - \Theta_\varphi(x))^2 = O \left(\sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{C}} \sup_{y \in S_\varphi} |g_n(y|x) - g(y|x)| \right), \quad (24)$$

which is enough, while considering Proposition 1, to complete the proof of Theorem 1. $\square$

REFERENCES

1. Attaoui, S., Laksaci, A., Ould Saïd, E. (2011). A note on the conditional density estimate in the single functional index model. *Statistics and Probability Letters.*, **81**, 45-53.
2. Dabo-Niang, S. and Laksaci, A. (2007). Estimation non paramtrique du mode conditionnel pour variable explicative fonctionnelle. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **344**, 49-52.

3. Delsol, L. (2009). Advances on asymptotic normality in non-parametric functional time series analysis. *Statistics*, **43**(1), 13–33.
4. Demongeot, J., Laksaci, A., Madani, F., Rachdi, M (2010). Local linear estimation of the conditional density for functional data. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **348**, 931–934.
5. Ezzahrioui, M. and Ould-Saïd, E. (2008). Asymptotic normality of a nonparametric estimator of the conditional mode function for functional data. *J. Nonparametric. Statist.*, **20**, 3–18.
6. Ezzahrioui, M. and Ould-Saïd, E. (2010). Some asymptotic results of a non-parametric conditional mode estimator for functional time-series data. *Statistica Neerlandica.*, **64**, 171–201.
7. Ferraty, F. and Vieu, P. (2000). Dimension fractale et estimation de la régression dans des espaces vectoriels semi-normés. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sr. I Math.*, **330**, 139–142.
8. Ferraty, F., A. Laksaci and P. Vieu (2006). Estimating some characteristics of the conditional distribution in nonparametric functional models. *Statistical Inference for Stochastic Processes*. **9**, 4776.
9. Ferraty, F. and Vieu, P. (2006). *Nonparametric functional data analysis. Theory and practice*. Springer Series in Statistics. Springer, New York
10. Ferraty, F., Laksaci, A., Tadj, A., Vieu, P. (2010). Rate of uniform consistency for nonparametric estimates with functional variables. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference.*, **140**, 335–352.
11. Goia, A., May, C., Fusai, G. (2010). Functional clustering and linear regression for peak load forecasting. *International Journal of Forecasting.*, **26**, 700–711.
12. Laïb, N. (2005). Kernel estimates of the mean and the volatility functions in a nonlinear autoregressive model with ARCH errors. *J. Statistical Planning and Inference*, **134**, 116–139.
13. Laïb, N. and Louani D. (2010). Nonparametric kernel regression estimation for functional stationary ergodic data: asymptotic properties. *J. Multivariate Anal.*, **101**, 2266–2281.
14. Laïb, N. and Louani D. (2011). Rates of strong consistencies of the regression function estimator for functional stationary ergodic data. *J. Statist. Plann. Inference*, **141**, 359–372

15. Masry, E. (2005). Nonparametric regression estimation for dependent functional data: asymptotic normality. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, **115**, 155–177.
16. Ramsay, J. and Silverman, B.W. (1997). *Functional Data Analysis*, Springer, New York.
17. Ould Saïd, E. (1997). A note on ergodic processes prediction via estimation of the conditional mode function. *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics*. **24**, 231-239.
18. Parzen, E. (1962). On the estimation of a probability density function and mode. *Ann. Math. Statist.*, **33** , 1065-1076.
19. Sigauke, C. and Chikobvu, D. (2010). Daily peak electricity load forecasting in South Africa using a multivariate nonparametric regression approach. *ORiON.*, **26**(2), 97-111.
20. van der Vaart, A. W. & Wellner, J. A. (1996). *Weak convergence and empirical processes. With applications to statistics*. Springer Series in Statistics. Springer-Verlag, New York.